UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,798	10/30/2006	Haijun Wu	HW253556	HW253556 6035	
	7590 06/04/201 `& MAYER, LTD	EXAMINER			
TWO PRUDEN	ITIAL PLAŽA, SUITI	CLAWSON, STEPHEN J			
CHICAGO, IL	TETSON AVENUE 60601-6731		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2461		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/04/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Chgpatent@leydig.com

	Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/585,798	j	WU, HAIJUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	STEPHEN	J. CLAWSON	2461				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>6</u>	05 March 2010						
	This action is no	n-final					
<i>i</i> —			socution as to the	morite is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice und	iei Ex parte Qua	yle, 1900 C.D. 11, 40	5 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 10-13</u> is/are pending in	the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 10-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· <u> </u>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	na/or election red	aurement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8, and 10-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8, and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, claim 1, recites in line 12, '...the video service stream...' If, as Applicant contends, the service stream is identified as a video stream and sent via the video bus; otherwise the service stream is sent along the data bus, then the service stream identified as data should not be denoted as a video service stream. Rather, it should be denoted as the service stream. Therefore, it is suggested that Applicant delete the words 'video' and 'stream' from line 12 of claim 1.

Regarding claim 8, claim 8 uses, in lines 12 and 13, the pronoun 'it'. The use of pronouns can be confusing because the noun to which the pronouns refer is not clear. Please replace the pronoun it with the noun. Therefore, it is suggested that Applicant replace the word 'it' in line 12 of claim 8 with the words 'the service' and replace 'it' in line 13 of claim 8 with the words 'the service'

Art Unit: 2461

Regarding claim 10, claim 10 recites in line 4 '...the multicasting distributor module...' There is lack of antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 8, line 6, refers to a '...added multicasting distributor module...' Further, lines 4-5 of claim 10 appear to be referring to the same limitation of claim 8 lines 6-7. Please clarify.

Regarding claim 12, claim 12, in lines 2-5, detects whether a service sent along a video bus is a video stream. This limitation is confusing since in claim 8, lines 10-13, claims transmitting only video on the video bus and data on the data bus. If this is indeed the case, then it is unclear why the received stream along the video bus could or would be anything but video. Please clarify.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (2003/0099239), and further in view of Vu (2004/0202162) and further in view of Alcatel ("Alcatel Takes Next Major Step with 7300 DSLAM Line" May 19, 2003).

Application/Control Number: 10/585,798

Art Unit: 2461

Regarding claim 1, Kim discloses a bandwidth expanded Digital Subscriber Line Access Multiplexer (DSLAM) for multicasting video service, comprising:

Page 4

a main control board, (See Kim fig. 4 'MCU' Main controller unit) subscriber interface boards (See Kim Fig. 4, 'ATU' ADSL Transceiver Unit; 'STU' SHDSL Terminal Unit; 'VTU' VDSL Terminal Unit; 'LTU' LAN Terminal Unit) and a data bus which is connected between the main control board and each of the subscriber interface boards; (See Kim Fig. 4 '180', '181', '182', '183', and '184')

wherein the DSLAM further comprises a video bus which is connected between the main control board and each of the subscriber interface boards, and wherein the video bus (See Kim Fig. 4 '180', '181', '182', '183', and '184') (Kim para. 52 and para. 91; Multiple bus lines are used to transmit data including video.)

Kim does not explicitly disclose wherein the video bus is a unidirectional bus transmitting the video service stream from the main control board to the subscriber interface board. However, Vu does disclose wherein the video bus is a unidirectional bus transmitting the video service stream from the main control board to the subscriber interface board. (See Vu para. 23, lines 1-6; unidirectional bus 2) multicast bus (i.e. video bus)) Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify the DSLAM of Kim to include a unidirectional bus of Vu with the motivation being to increase the speed of data transfer for asynchronous data applications where a large portion of data is transmitted in one direction such as broadcast/multicast video.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,798

Art Unit: 2461

Kim discloses, in fig. 2, an NIU (network interface unit) which is connected to multiple bus lines (44, 45, and 46) which are controlled by a MCU (main controller unit) that directs the communication across the bus lines to the ATU (ADSL transceiver unit). (See Kim fig. 2) Kim in view of Vu does not explicitly disclose wherein the main control board is configured to detect whether a service from a network interface is the video service stream; if it is, transmitting the video service stream to each subscriber interface board through the video bus in the DSLAM, otherwise transmitting the video service stream through the data bus. However, Alcatel does disclose wherein the main control board is configured to detect whether a service from a network interface is the video service stream; if it is, transmitting the video service stream to each subscriber interface board through the video bus in the DSLAM, otherwise transmitting the video service stream through the data bus. (See Alcatel para. 4, lines 2-3; separate broadcast video bus and data bus) Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify the DSLAM of Kim in view of Vu to include detecting whether a service from a network interface is the video service stream; if it is, transmitting the video service stream to each subscriber interface board through the video bus in the DSLAM, otherwise transmitting the video service stream through the data bus of Alcatel with the motivation being to separate video which requires strict quality of service (i.e. low jitter, delay) from data which is less sensitive to quality of service requirements (i.e. jitter, delay) and thus improve the DSLAM's overall performance and thus providing the customer more services of higher quality while at

Page 5

the same time increasing profits by allowing for more profit driven strategies such as triple play services (i.e. telephone, TV, and Internet).

Regarding claim 2, Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel discloses the bandwidth expanded DSLAM according to claim 1, wherein the DSLAM further comprises a multicasting distributor module; (See Kim fig. 6, 114 and 115 Cell Router (i.e. multicasting distributor module)) and wherein the main control board comprises a data processing module (See Kim fig. 6, 112, UNI (i.e. data processing module)) and a control module (See Kim fig. 6, 113 Cell Processor (i.e. control module)) connected with the data processing module; the data processing module outputs the video service stream to an input of the multicasting distributor module, (See Kim fig. 6) and an output of the multicasting distributor module is connected to the video bus. (See Kim fig. 6, 180 and/or 181 (i.e. video bus))

Regarding claim 4, Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel discloses the bandwidth expanded DSLAM according to Claim 2, wherein the video bus is a shared bus where the output of the multicasting distributor module is connected to the data processing module (See Kim fig. 8, ATU CPU (i.e. data processing module)) in each subscriber interface board (See Kim fig. 8, 140 (i.e. subscriber interface board) See also fig. 4 '140) in parallel; (See Kim fig. 4, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184 are all in parallel and connected to the subscriber interface boards in parallel) the multicasting distributor module is used for directly driving the inputted video service

Art Unit: 2461

stream to each subscriber interface board. (See Kim fig. 6, 114 and 115 Cell Router (i.e. multicasting distributor module))

Regarding claim 5, Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel discloses the bandwidth expanded DSLAM according to claim 1, wherein the video bus comprises one set of bus or multiple sets of buses (Fig. 4 '180', '181', '182', '183', and '184') carrying different video channels of the video service stream. (Kim para. 52 and para. 91; Multiple bus lines are used to transmit data including video.)

Regarding claim 6, Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel teaches the bandwidth expanded DSLAM according to claim 1, wherein the video bus is Gigabit Ethernet (GE) bus or Cell bus. (See Kim para. 87, fig. 4; '180' and '181' is a cell bus.)

Regarding claim 8, Kim discloses a transmission method for bandwidth expanded DSLAM comprising:

connecting a main control board (See Kim fig. 4 'MCU' Main controller unit) and each of subscriber interface boards (See Kim Fig. 4, 'ATU' ADSL Transceiver Unit; 'STU' SHDSL Terminal Unit; 'VTU' VDSL Terminal Unit; 'LTU' LAN Terminal Unit) in the DSLAM with a video bus, wherein the video bus is configured to transmit a video service stream; (See Kim Fig. 4 '180', '181', '182', '183', and '184') (Kim para. 52 and para. 91; Multiple bus lines are used to transmit data including video.)

Art Unit: 2461

transparently forwarding to the video bus which is shared, and broadcasting to a data processing module of each subscriber interface board through the video bus; and (See Kim Fig. 4 '180', '181', '182', '183', and '184') (Kim para. 52 and para. 91; Multiple bus lines are used to transmit data including video.) Kim fig. 4; MCU performs the actions of the multicasting distributor module which forwards video to the subscriber interface boards through the bus. It is inherent that the subscriber boards have data processing modules.)

Kim does not explicitly disclose transmitting the video service stream to an added multicasting distributor module by a data processing module in the main control board. However, Vu does disclose transmitting the video service stream to an added multicasting distributor module by a data processing module in the main control board. (See Vu para. 6, lines 15-18; replication circuitry (i.e. multicasting distributor module)) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify the DSLAM of Kim to include teaching of transmitting the video service stream to an added multicasting distributor module of Vu with the motivation being to allow for the distribution of video and thus permit additional services to be implemented and further to reduce bandwidth utilization by duplicating the video traffic further down in the network tree.

Kim discloses, in fig. 2, an NIU (network interface unit) which is connected to multiple bus lines (44, 45, and 46) which are controlled by a MCU (main controller unit) that directs the communication across the bus lines to the ATU (ADSL transceiver unit).

Application/Control Number: 10/585,798

Art Unit: 2461

(See Kim fig. 2) Kim in view of Vu does not explicitly disclose wherein the main control board is configured to detect whether a service from a network interface is the video service stream; if it is, transmitting the video service stream to each subscriber interface board through the video bus in the DSLAM, otherwise transmitting the video service stream through the data bus. However, Alcatel does disclose wherein the main control board is configured to detect whether a service from a network interface is the video service stream; if it is, transmitting the video service stream to each subscriber interface board through the video bus in the DSLAM, otherwise transmitting the video service stream through the data bus. (See Alcatel para. 4, lines 2-3; separate broadcast video bus and data bus) Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify the DSLAM of Kim in view of Vu to include detecting whether a service from a network interface is the video service stream; if it is, transmitting the video service stream to each subscriber interface board through the video bus in the DSLAM, otherwise transmitting the video service stream through the data bus of Alcatel with the motivation being to separate video which requires strict quality of service (i.e. low jitter, delay) from data which is less sensitive to quality of service requirements (i.e. jitter, delay) and thus improve the DSLAM's overall performance and thus providing the customer more services of higher quality while at the same time increasing profits by allowing for more profit driven strategies such as triple play services (i.e. telephone, TV, and Internet).

Page 9

Regarding claim 11, Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel discloses the transmission method according to Claim 8, further comprising: duplicating the received video service stream from the video bus (See Alcatel para. 4, lines 2-3; Broadcast video bus (i.e. it is broadcast (or duplicated) to all attached subscriber interface boards)) by the data processing module in the subscriber interface board, and outputting to a multicasting subscriber interface. (See Kim fig. 2, ATU (i.e. subscriber interface board) inherently has a processor (i.e. data processing module) and outputs the video to ATU-R 43a...43h (i.e. multicasting subscriber interface))

Regarding claim 12, Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel discloses the transmission method according to Claim 8, further comprising:

detecting whether the received service stream from the video bus is a video service stream by the data processing module in the subscriber interface board;

if it is, duplicating the received service stream and outputting to the multicasting subscriber interface, otherwise discarding it. (See Alcatel para. 4, lines 2-3; separate broadcast video bus and data bus)

Regarding claim 13, Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel discloses the transmission method according to Claim 8, further comprising: transmitting all data from the multicast subscriber interface to the main control board through the data bus by the subscriber interface board. (See Alcatel para. 4, lines 2-3; separate broadcast video bus and data bus; Data is transmitted through the data bus)

Art Unit: 2461

6. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (2003/0099239), and further in view of Vu (2004/0202162) and further in view of Alcatel ("Alcatel Takes Next Major Step with 7300 DSLAM Line" May 19, 2003) and further in view of Applicant's own admissions. (See MPEP 2129).

Regarding claim 3, Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel discloses the bandwidth expanded DSLAM according to claim 2. Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel does not disclose wherein the video bus is point-to-point star bus. However, Applicant admits the use of a point-to-point star bus in a DSLAM where the output of the multicasting distributor module is respectively connected to a data processing module in each subscriber interface board; the multicasting distributor module is used for duplicating the inputted video service stream and outputting to each subscriber interface board, respectively. (Applicant fig. 3) Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify the DSLAM of Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel with a point-to-point star bus of Applicant's admitted prior art with the motivation being that this configuration is already well known in the art (and admitted by Applicant) and provides more reliability by preventing the whole device from failing when one of the point-to-point connections fails and further provides increased bandwidth and thus allows for a high level of customer service and experience.

Art Unit: 2461

Regarding claim 10, Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel discloses the transmission method according to Claim 8, wherein the step of transmitting to each subscriber interface board through the video bus in the DSLAM comprises:

transmitting the video service stream to the multicasting distributor module by the data processing module in the main control board; (See Vu para. 6, lines 15-18; replication circuitry (i.e. multicasting distributor module))

duplicating the video service stream same copies with the number of connected subscriber interface boards in broadcast mode, (See Alcatel para. 4, lines 2-3;

Broadcast video bus (i.e. it is broadcast (or duplicated) to all attached subscriber interface boards)) or duplicating it according to multicasting allocation in multicast mode by the multicasting distributor module, and outputting the copied video service stream to the data processing module in each subscriber interface board through the video bus(See Alcatel para. 4, lines 2-3;

Broadcast video bus)

Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel does not disclose wherein the video bus is point-to-point star bus. However, Applicant admits the use of a point-to-point star bus in a DSLAM where the output of the multicasting distributor module is respectively connected to a data processing module in each subscriber interface board; the multicasting distributor module is used for duplicating the inputted video service stream and outputting to each subscriber interface board, respectively. (Applicant fig. 3)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify the DSLAM of Kim in view of Vu in view of Alcatel with a

Art Unit: 2461

point-to-point star bus of Applicant's admitted prior art with the motivation being that this configuration is already well known in the art (and admitted by Applicant) and provides more reliability by preventing the whole device from failing when one of the point-to-point connections fails and further provides increased bandwidth and thus allows for a high level of customer service and experience.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEPHEN J. CLAWSON whose telephone number is (571)270-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 pm est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2461

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/STEPHEN J. CLAWSON/ Examiner, Art Unit 2461

/Jason E Mattis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2461